1	Keven Steinberg, Esq. (#151372) STEINBERG LAW		
2	13412 Ventura Boulevard Suite 380		
3	Sherman Oaks, California 91423		
4	(818) 855-1103 / (818) 855-1104 Fax E-mail: <u>keven@kevensteinberglaw.com</u>		
5	Attorneys for Defendant, CLEARPATH FEDERAL CREDIT UNION		
6	CLEAKI ATTI LDEKAL CKEDII ONION		
7			
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF THI		
9	COUNTY OF L	OS ANGELES	
10	ANA RIVERA, SUSAN MOGHAVEM, individuals, and on behalf of all others similarly situated,	Case No.: 19STCV33504 [Unlimited Jurisdiction]	
11		[Assigned to: Hon. Amy D. Hogue, Dept. 7]	
12	Plaintiffs,	ANSWER AND AFFIRMATIVE	
13	VS.	DEFENSES OF DEFENDANT	
14	CLEARPATH FEDERAL CREDIT UNION; and DOES 1 to 10, inclusive.	CLEARPATH FEDERAL CREDIT UNION TO FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT;	
15	,	DEMAND FOR JURY	
16	Defendants.	Filing Date: 9/20/19	
17			
18			
19	Defendant CLEARPATH FEDERAL CRE	DIT UNION (hereinafter "Defendant") hereby	
20	answers the unverified Complaint of Plaintiffs A	NA RIVERA and SUSAN MOGHAVEM,	
21	individually and on behalf of all others similarly sit	uated (hereinafter collectively "Plaintiffs"), as	
22	follows:		
23	GENERAL DENIAL		
24			
25	Defendant generally denies each and every allega	ation and cause of action in Plaintiffs' unverified	
26	Complaint. In addition, Defendant denies that Pla	aintiffs, and any other aggrieved employees, the	
27	existence of which Defendant denies, have sustai	ned, or will sustain, any loss or damage in the	
28		on of any act or omission, or any other conduct or	
		1	

1	absence thereof on the part of Defendant.
2	AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES
3	FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
4	(Failure to State Cause of Action)
5	The Complaint and the causes of action therein fail to state facts sufficient to constitute
6	a cause of action against Defendant.
7	
8	SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
9	(Uncertain Complaint)
10	The Complaint and the causes of action therein are not pled with sufficient particularity to
11	provide notice to Defendant of the claims, rendering the Complaint uncertain.
12	
13	THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
14	(Justified Conduct)
15	The conduct of Defendant with respect to the matters alleged in the Complaint was justified,
16	and, by reason of the foregoing, Plaintiffs are barred from any recovery against Defendant.
17	
18	FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
19	(Estoppel)
20	Plaintiffs are estopped from obtaining the relief sought, or pursuing any of the claims raised or
21	causes of actions contained in the Complaint by virtue of their acts, failures to act, conduct,
22	representations, admissions and the like.
23	
24	FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
25	(Waiver)
26	Plaintiffs waived their rights to the claims, causes of action and relief sought in the Complaint
27	against Defendant by virtue of their acts, failures to act, conduct, representations, admissions,
28	modification of agreement and the like. To the extent Plaintiffs claim that they ware unlawfully denied

1	the right to rest periods, such claim is barred because Plaintiffs voluntarily relinquished or waived their
2	respective right to such rest periods.
3	
4	SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
5	(Complete Performance)
6	Defendant appropriately, completely and fully performed and discharged any and all obligations
7	and legal duties arising out of the matters alleged in the Complaint.
8	
9	SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
10	(Unclean Hands)
11	Plaintiffs are barred from any recovery or equitable relief based on the doctrine of unclean
12	hands.
13	
14	EIGHTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
15	(Failure to Mitigate)
16	If Plaintiffs suffered any loss it was directly or proximately caused by and is the result of
17	Plaintiffs' and/or others' conduct and failure to mitigate any such loss.
18	
19	NINTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
20	(Accord and Satisfaction)
21	Plaintiffs' claims are barred to the extent Plaintiffs and Defendant reached an accord and
22	satisfaction.
23	
24	TENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
25	(Performance Excused)
26	Defendant was excused from performing any duties alleged by Plaintiffs by reason of the failure
27	of consideration, waiver, breach of condition precedent, impossibility of performance, prevention,
28	frustration of purpose and/or acceptance by Plaintiffs.

1	ELEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
2	(Duties Performed)
3	Prior to the commencement of this action, Defendant duly performed, satisfied, and discharged
4	all duties and obligations he and it may have owed arising out of any and all agreements,
5	representations, or contracts by or on behalf of Defendant, and therefore, this action is barred by the
6	provisions of Civil Code section 1473.
7	
8	TWELFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
9	(Speculative and Unrecoverable Damages)
10	That damages alleged by Plaintiffs, if any, are speculative as a matter of law and such damages
11	are not properly recoverable against Defendant.
12	
13	THIRTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
14	(Prior Breach of Contract)
15	Defendant is excused from performing any contractual duties alleged by Plaintiffs because of
16	Plaintiffs' prior breach of contract.
17	
18	FOURTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
19	(Unjust Enrichment)
20	Plaintiffs will be unjustly enriched if allowed to recover any sum from Defendant.
21	
22	FIFTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
23	(No Damages)
24	Plaintiffs have not suffered any damages, including alleged damages as a result of Defendant's
25	conduct. In addition, although Defendant maintains that Plaintiffs cannot establish any claim for
26	restitution or other damages, should Defendant be found liable for any amount of restitution or
27	damages, Defendant maintains that such amounts are barred legally, or subject to an equitable offset,
28	due to damages suffered by Defendant which were caused by wrongful conduct of Plaintiffs. (Service

Employees Internat. Union, Local 250 v. Colcord (2008) 160 Cal.App.4th 362.)

SIXTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Good Faith Conduct)

Defendant acted in good faith and/or with reasonable grounds at all times with respect to the allegations set forth in the Complaint.

SEVENTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Failure to Notify)

Plaintiffs failed to provide timely notice within a reasonable period of time after discovery of the alleged damages. As a result, Defendant has been damaged and prejudiced, and, the Complaint and each cause of action therein, is barred as a matter of law.

EIGHTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Statutes of Limitations)

The Complaint, and each and every cause of action alleged therein, is barred by the applicable statutes of limitations, including but not limited to, *Code of Civil Procedure* sections 335 through 349.4, Labor Code section 203, and California Business and Professions Code section 17208.

NINETEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Contribution/ Apportionment/Fault of Others/Indemnity)

At all times and places set forth in the Complaint, parties other than Defendant failed to exercise ordinary care and/or to comply with contractual obligations, which was thereby the proximate cause of some or all of the damages complained of in this action, if any. Therefore, the fault of Defendant, if any, should be compared with the fault of the other parties, and damages, if any, should be apportioned among the other parties and responsible non-parties, including Plaintiffs, in direct relation to each party's comparative fault in accordance with the principals of equitable indemnity and comparative contribution.

1	TWENTIETH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
2	(Set Off)
3	In accordance with <i>Code of Civil Procedure</i> section 431.70, Defendant is entitled to a set off in
4	a sum to be established representing sums due and owing by reason of Plaintiffs' conduct as against
5	any and all of Plaintiffs' alleged damages or losses, if any.
6	
7	TWENTY-FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
8	(Indemnification)
9	If Plaintiffs recover damages from Defendant, Defendant is entitled to indemnification, either in
10	whole or in part, by and from all persons and entities whose conduct proximately contributed to
11	Plaintiffs' alleged damages, if any.
12	
13	TWENTY-SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
14	(Fraud)
15	Plaintiffs made certain representations, warranties and promises, which were either false and/or
16	misleading, and which therefore bar any of the alleged claims and request for relief set forth in the
17	Complaint.
18	
19	TWENTY-THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
20	(Consent)
21	Plaintiffs are barred from prosecuting the purported causes of action set forth in the Complaint
22	because Plaintiffs, and/or the persons and/or entities acting on its behalf, consented to and acquiesced
23	in the conduct alleged.
24	
25	TWENTY-FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
26	(Assumption of Risk)
27	Plaintiffs knew, or in the exercise of ordinary care, should have known, the risks and hazards
28	involved in the transaction, but nevertheless, and with full knowledge of these things, did fully and

1	voluntarily consent and assume the risks and hazards involved.
2	
3	TWENTY-FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
4	(Superseding or Intervening Cause)
5	If in fact Plaintiffs were damaged in any manner whatsoever, such damage, if any, was a
6	direct and proximate result of the intervening and superseding acts on the part of other parties, and
7	not Defendant, and such intervening and superseding acts of such other parties, bar or diminish
8	Plaintiffs' recovery, if any, against Defendant.
9	
10	TWENTY-SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
11	(Conduct Not a Substantial Factor)
12	The conduct alleged in the Complaint against Defendant was not a substantial factor in
13	Plaintiffs' alleged damages and, therefore, any such alleged misconduct was not a contributing cause
14	of any alleged damages allegedly suffered by Plaintiffs.
15	
16	TWENTY-SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
17	(No Duty)
18	Defendant did not owe any duty to Plaintiffs in connection with the claims alleged in the
19	Complaint, and therefore such claims are barred.
20	
21	TWENTY-EIGHTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
22	(Injunctive Relief Sought is Improper)
23	To the extent the Complaints calls for injunctive relief, the Complaint fails to allege any facts
24	or legal justification for injunctive relief.
25	
26	TWENTY-NINTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
27	(Business Practices Not Unfair)
28	Defendant's business acts or practices were not unlawful, unfair or fraudulent nor was there
	7

1	any unfair, deceptive, untrue or misleading advertising within the meaning of California Business and
2	Professions Code section 17200 et seq.
3	
4	THIRTIETH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
5	(Business Practices Not Ongoing)
6	The cause of action for Unfair Business Practices is barred in that Defendant's alleged
7	conduct did not occur, discontinued, ceased and is unlikely to recur within the meaning of California
8	Business and Professions Code section 17200 et seq.
9	
10	THIRTY-FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
11	(Prevention of Performance)
12	Plaintiffs are barred from prosecuting the purported causes of action alleged in the
13	Complaint and/or Defendant is excused from performing any contractual duties by reason of
14	Plaintiffs' conduct that prevented Defendant from performing any agreements, representations, or
15	contracts under which Plaintiffs seek recovery or relief.
16	
17	THIRTY-SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
18	(Damages Caused by Acts or Omissions Beyond Defendant's Control)
19	The damages sustained by Plaintiffs, if any, were proximately caused by the acts, omissions,
20	negligence, fraud, and/or breach of obligations by persons other than Defendant and beyond
21	Defendant's supervision and control.
22	
23	THIRTY-THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
24	(Proximate Cause - Other Persons)
25	The damages alleged to have been suffered by Plaintiffs in the Complaint were proximately
26	caused or contributed to by acts or failures to act of persons other than Defendant, which acts or
27	failures to act constitute an intervening and superseding cause of the damages alleged in the
28	Complaint.

1	THIRTY-FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
2	(Damages and Remedies Not Recoverable)
3	Plaintiffs seek damages and remedies that are not properly recoverable in this action or
4	against Defendant.
5	
6	THIRTY-FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
7	(Laches)
8	The Complaint, and each cause of action and claim asserted therein, is barred in whole or in
9	part by the doctrine of laches.
10	
11	THIRTY-SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
12	(Lack of Standing)
13	Plaintiffs lack standing to assert each claim and cause of action set forth in the Complaint,
14	including against Defendant. Plaintiffs lack standing under the California Private Attorneys General
15	Act of 2004, Labor Code section 2698 et seq., and any other applicable law or statute.
16	
17	THIRTY-SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
18	(Standard of Care)
19	At all times relevant hereto, and in the exercise of duties owed, if any, Defendant acted with
20	due care, in good faith, in a commercially reasonable manner and consistent with the usual standard
21	of care at the time and location where their conduct occurred, to the extent it did, in connection with
22	the allegations in the Complaint.
23	
24	THIRTY-EIGHTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
25	(Lack of Actual Controversy)
26	The Complaint fails to allege an actual controversy for which a judicial declaration or
27	determination is warranted nor is a judicial declaration or determination necessary or proper under
28	the facts and circumstances alleges in the Complaint.

1	THIRTY-NINTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
2	(Improper Remedies and Relief)
3	The remedies and relief sought by Plaintiffs are improper, deficient, unavailable and not
4	warranted under the facts and circumstances alleges in the Complaint.
5	
6	FORTIETH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
7	(Exempt from Overtime Pay)
8	Plaintiffs were not entitled to payment of overtime premiums to the extent they were exempt
9	from overtime requirements pursuant to, but not limited to, the California Labor Code, the provisions
10	of the California Industrial Commission Wages Order, and any and all California overtime laws.
11	
12	FORTY-FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
13	(Wages Paid)
14	Plaintiffs have been paid and/or received all wages and reimbursements due to them for their
15	services.
16	
ا 17	FORTY-SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
18	(Reimbursements Paid)
19	Plaintiffs have received reimbursement for all necessary and/or reasonable job-related
20	expenses.
21	
22	FORTY-THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
23	(No Penalties)
24	Plaintiffs are not entitled to general or other penalties under California Labor Code section
25	203 or any other applicable law. Plaintiffs were paid all wages owed at the end of services and such
26	payment was timely, and regardless, any alleged failure to pay all wages allegedly due to end of
27	service was not willful and/or there existed a good faith dispute as to the amount of compensation
28	owed, if any, at the end of the services.
	10

1	FORTY-FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
2	(Not Intentional and No Injury)
3	Defendant's conduct was not a knowing or intentional failure under California Labor Code
4	section 226(e) or any other applicable law, and Plaintiffs did not suffer any injury.
5	
6	FORTY-FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
7	(Improper Plaintiff)
8	The penalties claimed under California <i>Labor Code</i> section 226 may only be imposed in a
9	proceeding brought by the California Labor Commissioner.
10	
11	FORTY-SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
12	(Statement Provided or No Injury)
13	Plaintiffs were provided with proper itemized statements of wages and deductions and, to the
14	extent that they were not, Plaintiffs were not injured as a result.
15	
16	FORTY-SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
17	(No Permission for Representative Action)
18	Plaintiffs cannot obtain relief for others for violation of California Business and Professions
19	Code section 17200 because California law does not permit representative actions where liability can
20	only be determined through fact-intensive individualized assessments of alleged wage and hour
21	violations.
22	
23	FORTY-EIGHTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
24	(Inadequate Class)
25	Plaintiffs lack standing to represent the proposed class and do not adequately represent the
26	class members.
27	
28	

FORTY-NINTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Improper or Insufficient Class Pleadings)

This action cannot be properly maintained as a class or representative action because 1) Plaintiffs failed to plead, and cannot establish, the necessary procedural elements for class or representative treatment, 2) a class or representative action is not an appropriate method for the fair and efficient adjudication of the claims alleged in the Complaint, 3) common issues of factor do not predominate and to the contrary individual issues predominate, 4) Plaintiffs' claims are not representative or typical of the claims of the class, 5) Plaintiffs are not proper class or other representatives, 6) class counsel are not adequate representatives for the alleged class, 7) Plaintiffs cannot satisfy any of the requirements for class or representative action treatment and class action treatment is neither appropriate nor constitutional, 8) there is not a well-defined community of interest in the questions of law or fact affecting Plaintiffs and the members of the class, and/or 9) the alleged class is not ascertainable or have identifiable members.

FIFTIETH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Reservation of Defenses Against Class Members)

Defendant opposes class or representative certification and disputes the propriety of class or representative treatment. If the Court certifies a class or a representative action in this case over Defendant's objections, then Defendant asserts the affirmative defenses set forth herein again against each and every member of the certified class.

FIFTY-FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Violation of Right to Jury)

Adjudication of the claims of the class or representatives through generalized class or representative wide proof violates Defendant's right to trial by jury guaranteed by the U.S. and California Constitutions.

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

FIFTY-SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Violation of Due Process)

Certification of the class or representative nature of this matter, as applied to the facts and circumstances of this case, would constitute a denial of Defendant's due process rights, both substantive and procedural, in violation of the 14th Amendment of the U.S. and California Constitutions.

FIFTY-THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(No Right to Attorneys' Fees)

The Complaint fails to properly state a claim for attorneys' fees under California *Code of Civil Procedure* section 1021.5, California *Labor Code* section 218.5 and 1194, California *Business and Professions Code* section 17200, or any other basis.

FIFTY-FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Setoff and Recoupment)

Defendant is entitled to the equitable doctrine of setoff and recoupment to offset all extra payments or overpayments and/or obligations of Plaintiffs for any judgment entered against her.

FIFTY-FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(No Authority to Act)

To the extent the Complaint mentions the actions of employees, such actions were committed outside the course and scope of employment, were not authorized, adopted or ratified, and/or Defendants did not know nor should have known of such conduct.

FIFTY-FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Unconstitutional Laws)

The causes of action are barred because the applicable wage orders of the Industrial Welfare Commission are unconstitutionally vague and ambiguous and violate Defendant's rights under the

	1
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
1	8
1	9
2	0
2	1
2	2
2	3
2	4

U.S. and California Constitutions.

25

26 27

28

FIFTY-SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Representative Action Not Manageable)

Plaintiffs' representative action under the Private Attorneys General Act is not manageable because it would require the testimony of each of the persons on whose behalf civil penalties and other relief is sought in order for there to be recovery of penalties and other relief on any such persons' behalf.

FIFTY-SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Representative Action Not Manageable)

Plaintiffs' claims, if any, against Defendant under California Labor Code sections 2698-2699 and the Private Attorneys General Act of 2004, are barred because Plaintiffs, and the individuals they seek to represent, are not "aggrieved employees" as defined by California *Labor Code* section 2699.

FIFTY-EIGHTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Equitable Reduction)

Any penalties available to Plaintiffs under the Private Attorneys General Act are subject to equitable reduction pursuant to California Labor Code section 2699(e)(2), on the grounds that awarding the maximum available penalty would be unjust, arbitrary and oppressive, and confiscatory.

FIFTY-NINTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(No Knowledge)

Any recovery on Plaintiffs Complaint, or any cause of action therein, is barred on the ground that, to the extent they did not receive compensation for hours worked, such work was unauthorized by Defendant and performed without Defendant's knowledge.

SIXTIETH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Accurate Statements)

Assuming Plaintiffs and the similarly aggrieved employees were employed by Defendant, Defendant maintained and provided Plaintiff with accurate statements and records for wages, meal period, rest periods, etc., in compliance with California Industrial Welfare Commissions Wage Orders and the California *Labor Code*.

SIXTY-FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(No or De Mininus Violation)

To the extent Plaintiffs claim that they were unlawfully denied the right to meal periods, this claim is barred because Plaintiffs were "provided" off-duty meal periods of at least thirty (30) minutes duration at the appropriate times as required by Section 11 of the applicable Industrial Welfare Commission Wage Order, *Labor Code* section 512, and any other applicable law, and any interruption during said meal periods (which Defendant does not concede) was "de minimus," as permitted by law.

SIXTY-SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Authority for Breaks)

Plaintiff were, at all relevant times, "authorized and permitted" to take rest periods pursuant to Section 12 of the applicable Industrial Welfare Commission Wage Order.

SIXTY-THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Failure to Exhaust)

Plaintiffs failed to exhaust his internal and administrative remedies, including but not limited to such exhaustion of remedies which is required as a condition precedent to maintenance of this action under the *Labor Code* section 2699.3, which failure bars his recovery, if any, against Defendant.

1	SIXTY-FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE			
2	(Receipt of Minimum Wage)			
3	Plaintiff were compensated at a rate at or above the applicable minimum wage at all			
4	times.			
5	SIXTY-FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE			
6	(Exemption)			
7	Plaintiffs' claims are barred, in whole or in part, because the work they performed fa			
8	within one or more of the exemptions provided by the Federal Fair Labor Standards Act, the			
9	California Labor Code and the California Industrial Welfare Commission's Wage Orders,			
10	including but not limited to IWC Wage Order 7-2001.			
11	SIXTY-SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE			
12	(After-Acquired Evidence)			
13	Plaintiffs' claims are barred, in whole or in part, or the Plaintiffs' claims must be			
14	reduced or denied, under the doctrine of after-acquired evidence.			
15	SIXTY-SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE			
16	(PAGA Claims Not Suitable for Class Action)			
17	Plaintiffs' claims for penalties under the Private Attorneys General Act, Labor Code			
18	§2698 et. seq. ("PAGA") cannot be determined on a class or community-wide basis.			
19	SIXTY-EIGHTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE			
20	(Limitation of Civil Penalties)			
21	Plaintiffs' claims for penalties under PAGA must be limited to those penalties			
22	applicable to an initial violation and according to recent case law.			
23	SIXTY-NINTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE			
24	(Non-Certifiable Class or Representative Action)			
25	Plaintiffs' claims do not state facts to certify a class or representative action and			
26	therefore have not been properly brought as a class action.			
27				
28				

16 17

18

1920

2122

23

24

2526

27

28

SEVENTIETH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Claims Not Representative of Class)

Plaintiffs' claims are not representative of the claims of the members of the putative class or group of alleged claimants, and therefore this action is not properly maintained as a representative or class action.

SEVENTY-FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Claims Not Numerous)

The putative class or representative group is not so numerous that joinder of all members is impracticable; therefore, Plaintiffs cannot meet the prerequisites to a class or representative action.

SEVENTY-SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(No Common Questions of Law or Fact)

There are not questions of law or fact common to the putative class or representative group; rather, individualized questions of law and fact predominate over any semblance of common question. In addition, the proof peculiar to Plaintiffs' claims and the defenses thereto will vary widely.

SEVENTY-THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Claims Not Typical)

The claims of Plaintiffs and Defendant's defenses thereto are not typical of the putative claims or related defenses of the putative class or group as a whole.

SEVENTY-FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Representative Action Not Practical)

This case is not properly maintained as a class or representative action because the prosecution of separate actions by individual members of the putative class or group would not create a risk of inconsistent or varying adjudications or adjudications that as a practical matter would be dispositive of the interests of other members not parties to the action.

1	SEVENTY-FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE		
2	(Representative Action or Class Not Manageable)		
3	This case is not properly maintained as a class or representative action because of the		
4	difficulties likely to be encountered in the management of such an action.		
5			
6	SEVENTY-SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE		
7	(No Questions of Common or General Interest)		
8	This action does not raise questions of a common or general interest; therefore, this case		
9	may not be properly maintained as a class or representative action.		
10			
11	SEVENTY-SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE		
12	(Additional Affirmative Defenses)		
13	Defendant reserves the right to amend this Answer to include any applicable defense that ma		
14	become available or apparent during the course of discovery proceedings or further investigation.		
15			
16		<u>PRAYER</u>	
17	WHEREFORE, Defendant prays for judgment from this Court as follows:		
18	1.	Judgment be entered in favor of Defendant and	l against Plaintiffs;
19	2.	Plaintiffs take nothing by this action;	
20	3.	The First Amended Complaint be dismissed in	its entirety with prejudice;
21	4.	Defendant be awarded attorneys' fees and cost	s of suit herein to the extent permitted
22		under applicable law; and	
23	5.	For such other or further relief as the Court dec	ems just and proper.
24	DATED: 1	February 7, 2020	By: /s/ Keven Steinberg
25			Keven Steinberg, Esq. Attorneys Defendant,
26			CLEARPATH FEDERAL CREDIT UNION
27			
28			
	I		

DEMAND FOR JURY Defendant hereby demands a jury on all causes of actions and claims permitted therefore. DATED: February 7, 2020 By: /s/ Keven Steinberg Keven Steinberg, Esq. Attorneys Defendant, CLEARPATH FEDERAL CREDIT **UNION**

PROOF OF SERVICE

not a party to this action. My business address is 13412 Ventura Boulevard, Suite 380, Sherman

I am employed in the County of Los Angeles, State of California. I am over the age of 18 and

1 2

2

4

5 6

7

8

10

11

12

13

1415

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

2324

2526

27

28

Oaks, California 91423.

On the execution date below and in the manner stated herein, I served the following document: ANSWER AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES OF DEFENDANT CLEARPATH FEDERAL CREDIT UNION TO FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT; REQUEST FOR JURY on all interested parties in this action by placing [] the original or [X] a true copy of the original thereof

Kane Moon
Allen Feghali
MOON & YANG, APC
1055 West Seventh Street, Suite 1880
Los Angeles, California 90017

Attorneys for Plaintiffs
Ana Rivera and Susan Moghavem

- [] (BY MAIL) I deposited such envelope(s) with postage thereon fully prepaid in the United States mail at a facility regularly maintained by the United States Postal Service at Los Angeles, California. I am readily familiar with the firm's practice of collecting and processing correspondence for mailing. Under the practice it would be deposited with the U.S. Postal Service on that same day with postage thereon fully prepaid at Los Angeles, California in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that on motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one day after date of deposit for mailing, pursuant to this affidavit.
- [] (BY PERSONAL SERVICE) I caused the documents listed above to be personally served on the person(s) at the address(es) set forth above by placing them in an envelope or package addressed to the persons and provided them to a professional messenger service for service.
- [] (BY OVERNIGHT COURIER) I caused the document(s) listed above to be delivered in a sealed envelope with shipping prepaid, and depositing in a collection box for next day delivery via FEDERAL EXPRESS to the person(s) at the address(es) set forth below.
- [] (BY FACSIMILE) I caused the transmission of the foregoing document by facsimile to the offices of the addressee(s), and such transmission was reported as complete and without error.
- [X] (BY ELECTRONIC SERVICE) Based on a court order or an agreement of the parties to accept service by electronic transmission, I caused the documents to be sent to the persons at the notification addresses listed above.
- [X] (STATE) I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct.

Executed on February 7, 2020, at Los Angeles, California.

/s/ Keven Steinberg Keven Steinberg

enclosed in sealed envelopes addressed as follows: